

**REMARKS**

Claims 1- 4 and 6-14 are pending and under consideration in the above-identified application, and Claims 15-30 were previously withdrawn.

In the Office Action, Claims 1-4 and 6-14 were rejected.

In this Amendment, Claim 1 has been amended, and Claims 15 – 30 are cancelled. No new matter has been introduced as a result of this Amendment.

Accordingly, Claims 1- 4 and 6 -14 remain at issue.

**I. 35 U.S.C. § 112 Rejection of Claims**

Claims 1-4 and 6-14 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which Applicant regards as the invention.

Applicant amended Claim 1 to remove the phrasing “and the secure container” which is the basis for the indefiniteness rejection raised by the Examiner.

Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests that this claim rejection be withdrawn.

**II. Allowable Subject Matter**

The Examiner stated that Claims 1 – 4 and 6 – 14 would be allowable if not for the 35 U.S.C. § 112 Rejection of Claims.

As such, in view of the above discussed amendment that mitigated the 35 U.S.C. § 112 claim rejection, Applicant submits that Claims 1 – 4 and 6 – 14 are now allowable over the cited prior art.

**III. Conclusion**

In view of the above amendments and remarks, Applicants submit that the present application is now in condition for allowance, and respectfully request notification to that effect.

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_

*July 7, 2008*

By: \_\_\_\_\_

Respectfully submitted,

*David R. Metzger*

David R. Metzger  
Registration No. 32,919  
SONNENSCHNEIN NATH & ROSENTHAL LLP  
P.O. Box 061080  
Wacker Drive Station, Sears Tower  
Chicago, Illinois 60606-1080  
(312) 876-8000